	Application No.	Applicant(s) BOHM ET AL. Art Unit	
Interview Summary	10/596,234		
	Examiner		
	ALESSANDRO AMARI	2872	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	e, PTO personnel):		
1) ALESSANDRO AMARI.	(3)		
(2) ERIK SWANSON.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 25 September 2009.			
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conferer c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applic		ative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) If Yes, brief description:	Yes e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>15</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Knebel.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was read	ched. g) was not reached. h)	□ N/A.	

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner and Apolican's representative agreed on claim languages to further clarify</u> that the novel/monoholous feature was directive as sub finith beam soft by an acousts-cocide element from an <u>Illiumination light beam onto a samole so as to maniculate the samole and scanning an adjusted Illiumination light beam provided by the said acoust-booking alternative from the same illiumination light beam onto the samole.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

/Alessa	ndro	Ama	ri/		
Primary	Exar	niner	Art	Unit	287